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I. Program Description

A. College/Department/Program
1. College or School: College of Sciences
2. Unit: Web Address: http://physics.unlv.edu/
3. Program being reviewed: Ph.D. Astronomy
   a) Degrees and their abbreviations: Ph.D. Astronomy

B. Primary individual completing this worksheet
1. Name: Stephen Lepp
2. Title: Chair Physics and Astronomy
3. Date of self-study: 2/1/19
4. Campus phone number: 895-4455
5. Mail stop: 14
6. E-mail: lepp@physics.unlv.edu
7. Fax number: 895-0804

C. Other faculty involved in writing this report:

D. Catalog Description
Please insert the most recent catalog description(s) of the program(s). Due to display complications, the
description must be typed into this form and not pasted from the catalog.
The purpose of the Astronomy M.S and Ph.D degrees are to prepare students for a career in
Astronomy or Astrophysics Research or in education at the university level. The program
achieves this with a custom program for each student set up by their advisor and advising
committee. In the case of the Ph.D. the research must be original research conducted
independently by the student.
1. Is this description correct? If not, what needs to be changed? Yes.

II. Centrality to Mission

A. Department/Program Mission
What is the program’s mission statement (or the department’s if the program does not have one)?
The mission of the Department of Physics and Astronomy is to provide programs of the highest
possible quality in instruction, research, advising and service in the disciplines of Physics and
Astronomy. We strive to provide and environment emphasizing the importance of coherent and
integrated approach to teaching, research and service. In this friendly environment both students
and faculty can foster and develop the broad base of scientific knowledge, skills, principles and
competence which enable students to implement the technological problem solving skills needed
in industrial, academic and governmental research careers in physics or astronomy. The
department’s mission is reflected in the degree programs it offers: B.S. Physics, M.S. Physics,
M.S. Astronomy, Ph.D. Physics and Ph.D. Astronomy.
B. Department/Program Mission Alignment
Briefly describe how this program is aligned to the mission of the University as described in the most recent mission statement, UNLV Mission https://www.unlv.edu/toptier/vision, and how it supports achievement of the institution’s mission:

The mission of the Department of Physics and Astronomy aligns with the university’s particularly fulfilling traditional area of studies with research at national and international levels. The programs also fulfill the university mission by preparing students for graduate studies and producing graduates ready to enter the workforce.

C. Core Themes
Briefly describe how this program supports UNLV’s Core Themes (the core themes can be found at: https://www.unlv.edu/provost/nwccu/core-themes):

The department is fully involved in expressing the mission through the core themes, particularly those of Student Learning and Success and of Research, Scholarship, and Creative Activity. The department is one of the most research active on campus and we strive to include our students in these activities.

D. Excellence
List and briefly describe five highlights or areas of excellence of the program:

Our department has many high quality research programs as is shown in publications and grants. A publication list is attached to this report and it is one of the best in the university. In the last 10 years our department has brought in approximately two million dollars per year in grant money.

We encourage students as part of their learning to engage and interact with other research activities through group meetings, journal clubs and departmental seminars.

We have several recent hires who have shown to be very high quality, productive researchers. These include Rebecca Martin, Jason Steffen, and Zhaohuan Zhu, with research in astronomy, as well as Ashkan Salamat and Qiang Zhu with research in condensed matter physics. These tenure-track professors have brought a new young active contingent to the department and have been very productive in publishing and obtaining grants. Zhaohuan Zhu, one of our tenure-track faculty won a Sloan Fellowship, the first such winner in the state of Nevada.

We have been successful at getting our students research jobs as postdocs and some of our students are currently faculty or have positions at national labs.

We have produced several books on subjects such as cosmology, computational physics, gamma ray bursts and quantum computing and information.

III. External Demand for Program
A. Stakeholders
1. Who are the main local and regional stakeholders of your educational programs, i.e., employers and entities benefiting from these programs, hiring the graduates, or admitting them to graduate and/or professional programs?
There is a need for physics and astronomy graduates at the test site and for teaching within the Las Vegas valley, but the market for physics and astronomy graduates is primarily national and our graduates end up all over the country.

2. What are specific stakeholder needs for graduates?
   To have graduates need a basic understanding of Astronomy and the tools used in astronomical research, the ability to formulate and solve problems and to think independently.

B. Needs for Graduates and Future Plans
1. What are the anticipated needs for program graduates over the next 3-5 years? Please cite sources of information.
   The Bureau of Labor Statistics Occupational Outlook Handbook states “Overall employment of physicists and astronomers is projected to grow 14 percent from 2016 to 2026, faster than the average for all occupations”. Note: The growth projected for all occupations is 7%, so this is double the average.

2. What changes to the program will those require?
   We will have to prepare for additional students.

C. Success of Graduates
1. What steps does the department take to facilitate the success of graduates (e.g., internships, career fairs, employment talks, etc.)?
   Only informally, each student is assigned an advisor and advisory committee in the department.

2. Discuss the placements of recent graduates:
   We have only anecdotal information, our graduates seem to be successful at continuing in graduate school or finding a job.

3. If the department or program does not have placement information on graduates, what is the plan to implement gathering that information?
   We conduct an exit interview with each of our graduates. We will add a question to the exit interview to ask for contact information from our graduates (their UNLV email gets disabled when they graduate). This will allow us to do a systematic study on how our graduates are doing.

4. Do placements match stakeholder needs as identified above in A of this section?
   We have no reason not to think so, our stakeholders are people who want people trained in physics and astronomy, a market which is expected to grow.

5. If not, please explain:

6. Does the program assess whether the graduates are meeting employer’s needs?
   We don’t currently.

7. If not, what will the program do to place this NSHE-required assessment in place and by what date?
   Again with contact information from our graduates, we will be in a better position to assess this.

IV. Program Resources
A. Faculty Time
1. Faculty and GA Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fall 2015</th>
<th>Spring 2016</th>
<th>Fall 2016</th>
<th>Spring 2017</th>
<th>Fall 2017</th>
<th>Spring 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Full Time Faculty</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of State-Supported GA lines</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of PTIs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. For other non-major courses – e.g., upper division for the college or university, estimate the unit’s resources allocated to them:

B. Budget

1. Please fill in the table with three years of financial expenditures to be used to respond to questions 2 and 3 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget category</th>
<th>FY 15–16</th>
<th>FY 16–17</th>
<th>FY 17–18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Operating (2101)</td>
<td>$96,110.72</td>
<td>$104,968.02</td>
<td>$90,557.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Fees</td>
<td>$32,639.77</td>
<td>$31,484.52</td>
<td>$28,953.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Cost Recovery</td>
<td>$50,061.76</td>
<td>$39,151.26</td>
<td>$23,569.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-supporting</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Allocations</td>
<td>$178,812.25</td>
<td>$175,603.80</td>
<td>$143,081.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Graduate Assistantships (including GAs on grants)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Are these resources sufficient to meet the degree program’s instructional and scholarship needs?
Through retirements and administrative assignments, we are currently down four faculty from a few years ago. This has stretched our ability to offer all of our courses and programs in the department. In particular, we do not currently have sufficient resources to offer as many graduate elective courses as would be optimal, or as the students would like. This will be alleviated as we hire replacement faculty for those who left.

3. If not, approximately what line items and amounts would be needed?

C. General Education
1. If your program or unit offers General Education courses, please estimate what portion of the unit’s resources are allocated to this area:
   About 20% of our course offerings are for General Education and approximately 50% for Service courses for other programs.

2. Does the combined load from A and B above affect your unit’s ability to offer courses for its major? If so, please describe:
   Our main challenge now in offering courses for our majors has been recent retirements. The fact that Service and General Education courses must be offered, indirectly affects our ability to offer our major courses, especially electives.

D. Other Funding and Resources
1. Is funding from other sources sufficient to assist the program in achieving its outcomes? Other sources to be considered include: differential tuition, grants and contracts, endowment income, and one-time gifts for student scholarships, other one-time gifts.
   The Department of Physics and Astronomy receives a significant portion of its funding from federal grants. We have been very successful at bringing in outside funding, with a funding level of approximately 2 million dollars a year over the last 10 years. Unfortunately, a significant fraction of this funding came from a single grant, the High Pressure Science and Engineering Center, which we were notified last year would not be renewed. A challenge for the department over the next few years will be replacing this funding. Fortunately, we still have a large number of smaller grants in the department.

2. If not, which funding streams could most reasonably be increased to help the program attain its outcomes?

3. Has any new donor revenue been generated since the last program review?
   We have had some donor revenue since the last review. We have funding for a “Russell Frank Astronomy Lecture Series” as well as a Russell Frank Scholarship.

4. Has the unit engaged in fundraising activities to support the program over the last 5 years? If no, please explain why not:
   In addition to his direct donations, Russell Frank has been trying to find additional donors for the department, but beyond that the department does not engage in significant fundraising activities

5. What has been the result of these fundraising activities?
   None.

6. Review the space data for your department and comment on its amount and quality. These data will need to be accessed by an individual with Archibus® access.
   The space in the department may be divided into three categories: Teaching Space, Laboratory Space, and Office Space. Teaching Space is adequate for our current needs, we occasionally need to schedule labs on weekends, but are able to offer all needed sections. Laboratory Space is
adequate for our current needs. All active researchers have some lab space and we have additional space earmarked for our current hires. It is desirable to have more lab space, but our current amount is sufficient for current needs. It will be challenging for the department to find space as we make future hires, though. Office space is a bit less than what is needed. Currently, we have several postdocs who need to double and triple up in offices, which is not ideal. We are currently able to house all our faculty, postdocs and students, but with continued growth it will be challenging for the department to find space for them.

7. Is the quality and quantity of available consumable materials and supplies (e.g., office supplies or lab supplies) adequate and if not, explain why not:
   Yes they are adequate.

8. Is the quality and quantity of available technology resources, such as computers adequate and if not, explain why not:
   Yes they are adequate.

9. Is the quality and quantity of available equipment (other than computing) adequate and if not, explain why not:
   Yes they are adequate.

10. Is the quality and quantity of available library and information resources adequate and if not, explain why not:
    Yes they are adequate.

11. Staffing
    a) Are available department staff resources sufficient to attain the program’s outcomes?
       Yes they are adequate.
    b) If not, what additional staff resources are needed and how would they be funded?

12. Additional comments:

V. Size of Program

1. Below are headcount, course enrollment, and degrees conferred data from Decision Support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Level Key</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undergraduate (UGRD):</td>
<td>Graduate (GRAD):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 – Freshman</td>
<td>GR - Graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – Sophomore</td>
<td>PHD – PhD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 – Junior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 – Senior</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 – Post Bacc Undergrad</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Headcount:**

**Headcount declared majors in Astronomy PHD**

Plan code 'ASTPHD'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Ph.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2011</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2011</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plan code 'ASTPHD'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Ph.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PeopleSoft Table PS_LV_CNR_STDNT_CR PS_LV_CNR_STDNT_CP Office of Decision Support, July 2018

Course Enrollments:
Department of Physics and Astronomy enrollments by course subject

Enrollments in PHYS lecture courses by course level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Level - 600</th>
<th>Level - 700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2011</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Enrollments in **PHYS** lecture courses by course level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Level - 600</th>
<th>Level - 700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PeopleSoft Table PS_LV_CNR_ENRL  
Office of Decision Support, July 2018

Enrollments in **AST** lecture courses by course level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Level - 700</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2011</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2011</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PeopleSoft Table PS_LV_CNR_ENRL  
Office of Decision Support, July 2018
Degrees Conferred:
Degrees Conferred by Academic Year (July to June)

Plan code 'ASTPHD'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Year</th>
<th>Degree Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PeopleSoft Table PS_LV_CNR_DEGREES
Office of Decision Support, July 2018

2. Discuss the headcounts from the last five years, i.e., are the trends in line with projections in your unit’s strategic plan?
The head counts in the Astronomy PhD program are small, but should be combined with the Astronomy MS program as they share all resources.

3. If not, why not?

4. Does your program’s enrollment trend differ from national trends?
   Small numbers make it hard to draw trends.

5. If yes, please discuss the reasons why:

6. Additional comments:

VI. Retention, Progression, Completion
A. Major Course Offerings
1. Are enough courses offered to meet enrollment demands?
   Yes.

2. How many major courses have been added or eliminated in the last 5 years?
   ____1__Added   ____Eliminated

3. Why were the actions taken?
   We added a solar system formation course as an elective.

4. After reviewing the program, what additional actions should be taken to improve retention, progression and completion?
   None.

5. Are there any courses that students routinely have difficulty getting enrolled in, that slow progression and/or graduation? If so, please identify them:
   None.

6. If last question was answered yes, what steps can be taken to reduce “bottle-necks” in these courses. Please indicate both financially-based and non-financially-based solutions.
7. Can any changes in sequencing of courses be made to facilitate graduations?

B. Curriculum
1. Is the program’s curriculum aligned with current developments in the field?
   Yes.
2. If not, what needs to be done to make the curriculum current?

C. Graduation Rates
Program graduation numbers and rates are summarized below.

Graduation Rates:
Graduation rates for Fall Cohorts
New Graduate Student Cohorts declaring Astronomy PHD and graduating within 8 years

Plan code 'ASTPHD'

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Yr 2 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 3 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 4 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 5 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 6 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 7 rate (%)</th>
<th>Yr 8 rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: PeopleSoft Table PS_LV_CNR_DEGREES
PS_LV_CNR_CP
PS_LV_CNR_CR
Office of Decision Support, July 2018

Using the data in the tables above, please answer the following questions:

1. Are trends in 6-year cohort graduation close to the University’s goals (UNLV’s undergrad goal is 50%)?
   Small numbers, but students appear to be graduating in reasonable time.
2. If not, what is being done to reach the goal?
3. Discuss how and why the graduation rate is changing.
   Too small numbers for this analysis.
4. Additional comments:

VII. Relationship to Other Programs
1. What relationship does your program have to other programs (e.g. articulation, transfers, collaborations, partnerships) in the NSHE system?
   Very little.
2. What the relationship does this program have to other programs at UNLV (e.g., collaborations, partnerships, affiliated faculty, General Education requirements, etc.)?
   This department provides service courses for every department in the College of Sciences, every department in College of Engineering, as well as many other departments throughout the University.
3. Additional comments:

VIII. **Impact**

1. What impact has this program had or will have in the following areas:
   a) University
      The Physics and Astronomy department impacts the University through the
      conduct of high quality research, service, and production of high quality
      graduates in fields with increasing worldwide demand.
   b) Community
      The unit provides many outreach efforts to the community, including public
      lectures and school visits.
   c) Field
      The department provides other educational institutions with well-qualified
      research active faculty. We also contribute graduates at the undergraduate and
      graduate levels to corporations in private industry and to government agencies
      seeking well trained scientific and technical individuals.

2. What are the benefits to the institution of offering this program?
   The Department of Physics and Astronomy provides UNLV with a department doing
   high quality basic and applies research in important strategic fields, as well as educating
   the next generation of students in Physics and Astronomy. We also provide both service
   activities and substantial general education courses for the university.

3. Provide examples of the integration of teaching, research, and service (e.g., faculty mentoring leading
   to student presentations at conferences, service learning classes, community service activities
   involving students, or other student activities and/or achievements that you think are noteworthy).
   PhD students typically are utilized as graduate assistants early in their careers at UNLV. This may
   involve teaching, teaching assistance or grading for various courses. These students are also heavily
   engaged in original research projects under the supervision of their faculty advisor. The also regularly
   present research results at national and international conferences as needed.

4. Additional comments:

IX. **Productivity**

1. Please provide an indication of faculty productivity appropriate for your unit (lists of publications by
   type, grants by type, performances by type, installations by type, etc.):
   A partial list of publications and a partial list of grants is listed are listed in the appendix. The
   lists are incomplete in that they only capture publications and grants from current members of the
   department and not people who have left. The Department of Physics and Astronomy is one of the
   most productive departments at UNLV both in publications and grants.

2. Additional comments:

X. **Quality**

A. **Admission and graduation requirements**

1. Please insert program admission requirements from the current UNLV catalog. Due to display
   complications, this description must be typed into this form and **not** pasted from the Catalog.
   1) Applicants must have a BS in Physics, Astronomy or related area. 2) Applicants must have
      completed 18 semester credits of upper division physics. 3) Applicants seeking direct admission to the
      doctoral program must have a score in the 65th percentile or above on the Advanced Physics portion fo
the GRE before admission and have a minimum GPA of 3.00 for all undergraduate work or an overall
3.25 GPA for the last two years of undergraduate work. 4) Applicants with a Masters degree must
have an overall 3.00 GPA in their Masters program and at least 15 credit hours of graduate level
course work in physics or astronomy with a grade of B or better.
2. Are there any updates that need to be made to the catalog and if so, what are they?

3. How many full-time advisors are available at the college level?
   Each student is assigned an advisor and advisory committee in the physics and astronomy
department.

B. Outcomes and Assessment

1. Student Learning Outcomes and Program Assessment Plans and Reports by program concentration are
   listed at http://provost.unlv.edu/Assessment/plans.html. Please attach the most recent assessment
   report in the Appendix.
   The most recent assessment report is included in the appendix.
2. Describe specific program changes made based on the program’s evaluation of its assessment reports:
   Our major change based on assessment was to institute an “exit interview” with each student
   graduating. Going forward we plan to use this interview to collect contact information to help us
   contact our graduates for systematic study of their success.
3. Has the program revised its curriculum such as changing prerequisites, adding or eliminating required
   or elective courses, or co-curricular experiences for the degree(s) in the last 5 years?
   No.
   a) If yes, what changes were made and why?

4. Has the program revised course content or instructional approaches (pedagogy, technology) in the last
   5 years? None
   a) If yes, what changes were made and why?

5. Describe any other changes made in the last 5 years (for example, advising) based on assessment
   reports: None.

6. List and describe two specific improvements in student learning outcomes and why they represent
   forward movement.

7. Additional comments:

XI. Conclusions, Self-Assessment
A. Faculty Review of self-study
1. On what date did the program and/or department faculty review this self-study?
   3/8/19
2. What were the results of the faculty review?
   The faculty approved the self-study with minor revisions.
3. What are the top 3 priorities and/or needs for the future development of the program?
   a. More faculty. Currently we are down four faculty with retirements and
      administrative assignments, and additional faculty will lead to additional
      research supervisors, additional elective courses (both undergraduate and
      graduate) and the ability to offer alternate tracks of some bottleneck
      courses in the undergraduate program. More faculty would allow us to
grow the program to expected needs for a field for which job growth is expected to be 14%, double the average over the next ten years.

b. More GA’s. The physics department currently uses most of its GA’s to teach laboratory classes for the undergraduate program. Most physics departments do both laboratory and recitation sections for their service courses. This helps in both retention (more people passing these courses) and it would allow us to accept more students. Currently our number of applications is up significantly and many are high quality students.

c. More Space. As we get more faculty and more students we will start to run out of space for our programs. The department will need more space, both office and laboratory, going forward.

4. What are the strengths of the program?
   High quality faculty, working with high quality students to produce high quality research.

5. What are the challenges facing the program?
   One of our biggest challenges is to increase the research funding in the department, particularly from federal grants. The department has been very successful at bringing in research money, but must continue to pursue this funding. It is a particular challenge going forward, as we have lost one of our big grants (the HiPSEC grant lost at the end of 2017), but we have already started to fill in the loss with over a million dollars in new grants starting in 2018.

6. What recent additions, corrections, or other changes have been made to the program that reflect changes or developments in the field?
   The programs in physics and astronomy are very stable and have changed little over time. We have updated our teaching methods and experimented with online courses. We have also introduced new courses at both the undergraduate and graduate level in climate change, in quantum computing and information and at the graduate level in solar system formation.

B. Other comments

XII. Is there anything else you would like to discuss about the program?